Copy number variations associated with insect bite hypersensitivity in Friesian horses
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Introduction – copy number variation (CNV)

- Change in number of copies
- Genomic region of reasonable size (≥1kb)
- Largest source of genetic variation in many genomes
Introduction – copy number variation (CNV)

- Facilitate our understanding of the genome and its expression → associations with our traits of interest?
- In horses: CNV (association) studies limited!
Introduction

Insect bite hypersensitivity (IBH)
- Seasonal allergy to *Culicoides* spp.
- Many breeds affected worldwide
- Intense itch → self-inflicted trauma

Genetics
- Multifactorial and polygenic in nature ($h^2 \sim 0.2$)
- Across-breed associations: MHC region (ECA20)
Introduction

Friesian horses

- Common native breed
- ~20% affected with IBH

Our aims

- Identify CNVs in Friesian horses
- Perform a CNV-based GWAS to identify genomic regions associated with IBH
Materials and Methods

Materials

- Case-control approach with strict protocol (n=280)
- Axiom® Equine Genotyping Array (670k)
Materials and Methods

Methods

1. CNV calling in PennCNV
   - horse 1
   - horse 2
   - horse 3
   - horse 4

3. Gene ontology using KEGG pathway mapping
   - CNVR
   - gene1
   - gene2
   - gene3
   - gene functions?

Reference

Deletion

Duplication

(A)
CNVs and CNV regions

Identified CNVs

- 15,041 CNVs: 18 to 262 per horse (mean=67.8)

  ✓ Results partly comparable to previous equine CNV studies

  ✓ Diversity between studies likely due to experimental set-up

- 5,350 CNV regions
- Genome coverage was 11.2%
19 CNVRs significantly associated with IBH ($P$-value CNV < 0.05)

- ECA20: MHC class I, II, III region
  - 60 cases and 35 controls (all gains)
  - $P$-value gain = 0.001
  - Odds Ratio = 2.65

- ECA10: 12,948,489-13,075,518 (127kb)
  - 25 cases (15 gains) and 6 controls (all gains)
  - $P$-value = 0.0003
  - Odds Ratio = 5.92
Gene ontology

GO analysis CNVRs

- 43.7% CNVRs involved genes

- Enriched pathways comparable to previous (equine) CNV studies

- Candidate genes within the MHC region
  - Strong candidate region: MHC
  - Enriched for immunity related genes
  - No genome-wide significance
CNV validation

Based on literature

- 42.0% of CNVRs in Friesian horses validated in other breed(s)
- 84.2% of CNVRs associated with IBH validated

- Reasonable percentage of CNVRs validated
- Breed-specific CNV(R)s are to be expected
Discussion

MHC extremely polymorphic for a reason!

CNVs: structural variations in the genome

- Responsible for more heritable sequence differences between individuals than SNPs
- Might contribute to variation in phenotypic expression of complex traits
  - More complex structures underlying phenotypic variation
CNVRs in the MHC class I-II-III region on chromosome 20 are associated with insect bite hypersensitivity in Friesian horses.

Our study contributes to the understanding of the equine genome and its expression.
PennCNV: CNV detection with LRR and BAF

Wang et al., 2007

Inference of log R Ratio (LRR) and B Allele Frequency (BAF)

For each SNP, its two alleles are referred to as the A and B alleles using a set of specific naming rules (see http://www.illumina.com/downloads/TopBot_TechNote.pdf). The raw signal intensity values measured for the A and B alleles are then subject to a five-step normalization procedure using the signal intensity of all SNPs (see Illumina white paper at https://icom.illumina.com/icom/software.ilmn). This procedure produces the X and Y values for each SNP, representing the experiment-wide normalized signal intensity on the A and B alleles, respectively. Two additional measures are then calculated for each SNP, where \( R = X + Y \) refers to the total signal intensity, and \( \theta = \arctan(Y/X) / (\pi/2) \) refers to the relative allelic signal intensity ratio.

As a normalized measure of total signal intensity, the log R Ratio (LRR) value for each SNP is then calculated as 

\[
LRR = \log_2(\frac{R_{\text{observed}}}{R_{\text{expected}}})
\]

where \( R_{\text{expected}} \) is computed from linear interpolation of canonical genotype clusters (Peiffer et al. 2006). The B Allele Frequency (BAF) is a somewhat confusing term that actually refers to a normalized measure of relative signal intensity ratio of the B and A alleles.

\[
BAF = \begin{cases} 
0, & \text{if } 0 < \theta_{BA} \\
0.5(0 - \theta_{AB}) / (\theta_{AB} - \theta_{AA}), & \text{if } 0 \leq 0 < \theta_{AB} \\
0.5 + 0.5(0 - \theta_{BB}) / (\theta_{BB} - \theta_{AA}), & \text{if } \theta_{AB} \leq 0 < \theta_{BB} \\
1, & \text{if } 0 = \theta_{BB}
\end{cases}
\]  

(1)

where \( \theta_{AA}, \theta_{AB}, \) and \( \theta_{BB} \) are the \( \theta \) values for three canonical genotype clusters generated from a large set of reference samples. The transformation from \( \theta \) to BAF values adjusts for different chemical characteristics of each SNP so that values for different SNPs are more comparable to each other.
SNP-based GWAS results