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Breeding practices and pastoral farming in Corsica

• A diversity of breeding practices:
  
  ✓ Breeding scheme: around 15% of total dairy-sheep farmers
  ✓ Use of rams from the breeding center: around 34% of total dairy-sheep farmers
  ✓ Replacement and culling rates: from 10% to 25% in farms in breeding scheme (OS Brebis Corse)
  ✓ Selection criteria including various functional traits

• Pastoral farming: from exclusive use of rangelands to self-sufficiency in hay production

How are breeding practices a flexibility lever at the farm scale?
For pastoral constraints?
Material and methods

The flock as source of flexibility for the farming system (Nozieres et al, 2011)

Three sources considered in the study:

(i) the animal flows in and out the herd
   \( \rightarrow \) Replacement and culling rates (RC rates)

(ii) the diversity of species/breeds/animal populations within breed
   \( \rightarrow \) Supply in external rams

(i) the animal adaptive capacities
   \( \rightarrow \) Functional and productive traits in replacement/culling
Material and methods

Semi-structured interviews across Corsica region (n=30)
Bertin’s graphical data analysis

→ Setting RC rates: modalities and reasons
→ Changes in RC rates under forage offer variations
→ Supply in external rams: modalities and reasons
→ Replacement and culling decisions for “good milk producers” displaying: twinning, non-compliance with breed standard, restless temperament, difficulties in milking, sensitivity to mastitis

Characterizing pastoral components

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Role of grazing</th>
<th>Hay production</th>
<th>Cultivated grasslands</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Transhumance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
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Linking forage offer variation … to variability of RC rates

Low replacement rate
Increase of replacement rate in case of good forage offer

| FARMS | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 |
|-------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| REP RATE |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| FORAGE VAR/CULL RATE |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| FORAGE VAR/REP RATE |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |

| ROLE OF GRAZING |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| HAY PRODUCTION |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| CULTIVATED GRASSLANDS |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| LOCATION |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| TRANSHUMANCE |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |

Grazing > 50% of energy requirements
Hay purchased on the market/no hay
Rangelands and native grasslands
South-Western Corsica
Linking forage offer variation ... to variability of RC rates

Medium to high replacement rate
Increase of culling rate in case of low forage offer

| FARMS | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 |
|-------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| REP RATE |
| FORAGE VAR/CULL RATE |
| FORAGE VAR/REP RATE |
| ROLE OF GRAZING |
| HAY PRODUCTION |
| CULTIVATED GRASSLANDS |
| LOCATION |
| TRANSHUMANCE |

Grazing > 50% of energy requirements
Hay produced : low self-sufficiency

Cultivated grasslands
Central Corsica and North-Western lowlands
Linking forage offer variation ... to variability of RC rates

Replacement and culling rates are **not a source of flexibility** in case of variations of forage offer

| FARMS | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 |
| REP RATE |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| FORAGE VAR/CULL RATE |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| FORAGE VAR/REP RATE |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |

| ROLE OF GRAZING |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| HAY PRODUCTION |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| CULTIVATED GRASSLANDS |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| LOCATION |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| TRANSHUMANCE |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |

From the most pastoral ... towards the less pastoral ones
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EAAP
Dubrovnik, Croatia, 27th to 31st August 2018
Use of external rams

Avoiding inbreeding
Risk diversification (all traits considered)
No confidence in collective action (in general)
Rejecting the use of genetics from collective action (without farmer supervision)
No confidence in collective action (in general)
Minimum risk on functional traits and overall animal performance
Security on sanitary status

Maximizing the diversity of farmers providing rams
N=5
N=3

Limiting the number of farmers providing rams/No external rams
N=3
N=19

Risk diversification (all traits considered)
Quick genetic improvement (dairy)
Using genetics from the breeding scheme without information on the farm (AI, rams from BS centre)
Quick genetic improvement (dairy)
Low opportunity cost + security on sanitary status
Avoiding inbreeding
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EAAP
Dubrovnik, Croatia, 27th to 31st August 2018
Linking trade-offs on selection criteria … to flexibility of replacement rate and male supply

Risk diversification (all traits considered)

No flexibility of replacement rate if hazards or opportunities
Exigence on twinning and milking ease

Minimum risk on functional traits and overall animal performance
Exigence on milking ease, breed standard

N=5
N=3
N=3
N=19

Risk diversification (all traits considered)
Quick genetic improvement (dairy)
Tolerance on sensitivity to mastitis, deseasoning, twinning, breed standard

Quick genetic improvement (dairy)
All types of trade-off
Replacement rate can be flexible or not
How sources of flexibility are combined?

More investigation is needed to understand how the different sources of flexibility are combined at the herd scale:

- Evidence of a link between modalities of ram supply and trade-offs between traits in replacement practice

  → Nature and importance of risk associated with external ram supply?
  → Opportunity to select specific traits in relation with flock performances and perceived “heritability” (farmer’s perception)?

- A majority of farmers using breeding center genetics as source of flexibility ... display different uses of RC rates and trade-offs between traits
Concluding remarks

→ Culling rates can be highly impacted in case of low forage offer in farms producing hay in dry areas (North-Western Corsica)

→ Replacement rates are sources of flexibility for opportunities (“good ewes”, high forage offer) and zootechnical hazards

→ Flexibility on providers and number of farmers supplying rams respond to various motivations

→ Link is not clear between trade-offs performed between traits and modalities or ram supply … but role of external replacement with respect to internal replacement has to be further investigated
Thank you for your attention
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